

The Eternal Jew (1940 film)

The Eternal Jew is a 1940 anti-jewish German Nazi propaganda film^[1], presented as a documentary. The film's initial German title is *Der ewige Jude*, the German term for the character of the "Wandering Jew" in medieval folklore. At the insistence of Nazi Germany's Minister of Propaganda, Joseph Goebbels, the film was directed by Fritz Hippler . With a screenplay credited to Eberhard Taubert, the film consists of feature and documentary footage combined with materials filmed shortly after the start of the Nazi occupation of Poland. At this time, Poland's Jewish population was about three million, roughly ten percent of the country's total population. The narration is conducted by actor Harry Giese.

Contents

Background

Production

Format and structure

Themes

- Jews as an uncivilized and parasitic people
- Jews as manipulative
 - Changing outward appearances
 - Economic impact
- Jews as abnormal and depraved
- Degenerate art
- Jewish religious practices
- Hitler's Reichstag speech

Release and reception

Postwar legacy

Availability

Cast

- Makers

See also

References

Further reading

External links

Background

Hitler and Goebbels believed that film was a vital tool for molding public opinion. The Nazis first established a film department in 1930 and Goebbels took a personal interest in how films could be used to promote the Nazi philosophy and agenda. Soon after the Nazi takeover, Goebbels insisted in speeches that the role of German cinema was to serve as the "vanguard of the Nazi military"^[2].

Der ewige Jude (The Eternal Jew)	
	
Original release poster	
Directed by	Fritz Hippler
Produced by	Deutsche Film Gesellschaft
Written by	Eberhard Taubert
Narrated by	Harry Giese
Music by	Franz R. Friedl
Cinematography	A. Endrejat A. Haffner R. Hartmann F.C. Heeve H. Kluth E. Stoll H. Winterfeld
Distributed by	Terra
Release date	28 November 1940
Running time	62 minutes
Language	German



The interior of the exhibition *The Eternal Jew* (1937/1938)

The Goebbels film appears to have been intended as a violently anti-Semitic version of the British film *The Wandering Jew*, which argued that Jews were victims of relentless persecution throughout history. Saul Friedländer suggests that Goebbels' intent was to counter three films: *Jew Süss*, *The House of Rothschild*, and *The Wandering Jew*. These three films, all released

in 1933-34, showed that Jews were persecuted throughout history; the Goebbels films presented the opposite message.^[3]

In 1937, a special wing of the Propaganda Ministry put on an art exhibition in Munich titled *Der ewige Jude*. It followed this up with the publication of a book of the same title, consisting of 265 photographs, each with a derogatory caption asserting the degeneracy of the Jewish race.^{[4][5]}



Poster for *The Eternal Jew* exhibition, 1937

In November 1938, Goebbels made a series of attacks against the Jews in the German media that were a factor which resulted in the pogrom known as Kristallnacht. Despite the emotional satisfaction afforded the Nazis by carrying out their antisemitism with direct violence, Kristallnacht was considered by Hitler to have been a political disaster both within Germany and internationally. Not only did the brutality indirectly caused by Goebbels evoke harsh criticism internationally, the mixed reaction in the German media showed a lack of broad-based support among Germans for antisemitism and violence.^[6]

Hitler expressed his frustration and anger at the mixed response from the German media and insisted that, instead of openly calling for violence against the Jews as Goebbels had in instigating the pogrom, Nazi propaganda should "elucidate events of foreign policy" in such a way that the German people themselves would call for violence against the Jews.^{[6][7]}

In response to Hitler's harsh reprimand, Goebbels launched a campaign to promote the anti-Semitic views of the Nazis to the German populace. He ordered each film studio to make an anti-Semitic film. In the case of *The Eternal Jew*, Goebbels conceived of a film that would communicate to the German people the same antisemitic message that had been the theme of the 1937 Munich exhibition. Hitler preferred films such as *The Eternal Jew* which presented the Nazi anti-Semitic agenda openly and directly; however Goebbels disliked the crudeness of such straightforward approaches, preferring the much more subtle approach of couching anti-Semitic messages in an engaging story with popular appeal. The film *Jud Süß* is an example of Goebbels' preferred approach.^[8]

Production

Although Goebbels did not generally take an active role in the production of particular films, he elected to do so in the case of major propaganda films such as *The Eternal Jew*.^[3] The film was in production for over a year.^[9] Throughout the end of 1939 and the beginning of 1940, Goebbels devoted "constant attention" to the production of what he referred to as "the Jew film".^[10] As early as 1938, Goebbels had wanted to have a film crew travel to Poland to shoot the ghetto scenes; however, he was unable to gain permission from the Polish government. In October and November 1939, almost immediately after the German/Soviet invasion of Poland, he instructed Hippler to send camera crews to Łódź, Warsaw, Cracow and Lublin to shoot footage of Polish Jews.^{[11][12]}

The footage that Hippler shot in the Jewish ghettos of those cities in German occupied Poland was the only footage shot specifically for the purpose of the film. At the beginning of the film, animated text informs the audience that this "documentary footage" shows Jews in their original state "before they put on the mask of civilized Europeans."^[13] In the Nazi press, Hippler expanded on this claim, asserting that his filming techniques captured Jews "in an unprejudiced manner, real to life as they live and as they react in their own surroundings."^[14]

Although Hippler advertised the film as being a factual documentary consisting of pictures of real Jews with nothing faked or simulated, his claims were complete falsehoods. In reality, the film was an exercise in manipulation for the purposes of propaganda. In shooting his footage, Hippler did in fact simulate scenes and use actors who were performing under duress and without knowledge of how the footage would be employed. For example, in order to get shots of Jewish worship services, Hippler and Goebbels assembled the congregation of the Vilker synagogue, ordered them to wear the *tallithim* and *tefillin* and hold a full-scale service. When the Germans ordered the Torah reader to read from the Torah, he started by saying on camera "Today is Tuesday" signalling that his reading of the Torah was coerced since it was not customary to read the Torah on Tuesdays.^[10]

Aside from the footage shot in Poland, the rest of the film consisted of stills and archival footage from feature films (often without permission) that the film presented as if they were documentary footage.^[5]

Format and structure

The movie was produced in a documentary format and, like other Hippler films, relied heavily on narration.^[6]

The film can be roughly divided into four thematic areas:

- Recordings from Polish ghettos
- Enumeration and evaluation of numerous political, cultural and social values in the international arena of Jewish origin
- Religious ceremonies, religious instruction, worship, ritual slaughter
- Adolf Hitler's Reichstag speech, parading SA troops

Themes

Richard Barsam writes the film's "essential contrast is between myths and stereotypes of Jews and the Nazi ideal of a 'master race,' between the alleged inferiority of the Jews and the superiority of the Germans."^[17] According to Stephen Fritz, Goebbels' intent was to create a film that would serve as "both a demonstration of the parasitical nature of the Jews and a justification for drastic measures against them."^[18] Maria Tatar writes that the Nazis were able to use Hippler's film to "position the victims of their genocidal project as dangerous aggressors who had to be exterminated."^[19] Similarly, Barsam describes the film as arguing that "Jews are criminals;... they have no soul;... they are different in every way;... killing them is not a crime, but a necessity—just as killing rats is a necessity to preserve health and cleanliness."^[20]

Unser Wille und Weg, a Nazi Party monthly publication aimed at propagandists, provides a rationale for why *The Eternal Jew* was made. The author of the essay "The Film of a 2000-Year Rat Migration,"^[21] who remains anonymous, believes the film shows "a full picture of Jewry" and provides "the best treatment of this parasitic race." The author connects the Jews' migration from the Middle East to Egypt and their following of German colonists to rats traveling as a group, who "even then displayed the same criminal traits that they still displayed". The film is complimented for "its portrayal of the Jews' vulgar methods and the brutality and all-devouring hatred they exhibit when they reach their goal and control finance."^[21] The slaughtering method causes the author to question the "so-called Jewish religion", as butchers do their work with grins on their face.^[21] In closing, the author states the film will be a valuable tool in the struggle to break the Jews' "power over us. We are the initiators of the fight against world Jewry, which now directs its hate, its brutal greed and destructive will toward us. We must win this battle for ourselves, for Europe, for the world!"^[21]

Jews as an uncivilized and parasitic people

Richard Taylor describes the basic tenet of the film as arguing that "the Jew is an oriental barbarian who has insinuated himself cleverly into European society, and now exploits it parasitically."^[22] This point is emphasized throughout the film, starting from the very opening lines of the film's commentary:

The "civilized" Jews that we
know in Germany give us
only an incomplete picture



Ghetto children

of their racial character. This film shows genuine shots of the Polish ghettos. It shows the Jews as they really are, before they conceal themselves behind the mask of the civilized European.^[22]



Photographs such as this served to record the horrors of life in the Łódź Ghetto for posterity

Following this commentary, the film provides a succession of scenes in which Jews are portrayed as an uncivilized, parasitic people with low social standing. The images were mostly shot on site in the Warsaw Ghetto and other Polish ghettos, showing subjects who were deliberately chosen to be poorly dressed, dirty and who had partially toothless grins. The locations shown are dirty and infested by vermin. Robert Reimer asserts that a central theme of the film is the assertion that "Jews have always lived in the ghettos and in fact they *choose* to live this way." According to Reimer, the film asserts that ghettos are "ordinary [and] normal" aspects of Jewish life. Reimer charges that what the film is actually showing is not the normal life of Polish Jewry but rather the "effect of the Nazi administration and the disruption of the lives of millions of Polish Jews."^[13] Erik Barnouw describes the Warsaw Ghetto after the Nazi conquest as a place where "hundreds of thousands of Jews were herded by the Nazi conquerors into pockets of indescribable misery." He describes footage shot there as showing "half-starved, unshaven creatures caught in pathetic acts of barter - a pair of socks for a scrap of food." It is scenes such as these that the film presents as showing Jews "in their natural state."^[23]

The film utilizes a montage that juxtaposes these images of ghetto Jews with images of rats to draw an analogy between the migration of Jews from Eastern Europe with the migration of rats. For example, one of the shots shows a pack of rats emerging from a sewer, followed by a shot of a crowd of Jews in a bustling street of the Łódź Ghetto. Close-ups of those in the crowd reveal sickly, malformed facial features. The narrator states that, as rats are the vermin of the animal kingdom, Jews are the vermin of the human race and similarly spread disease and corruption. Unlike rats, however, the narrator continues, Jews have the uncanny ability to change their appearance and blend into their "human hosts." A scene depicts four bearded men in traditional religious Jewish clothing, then shows them shaved and in modern business suits, while the narrator explains that only a "trained eye" can distinguish their Jewish features.

"Where rats appear, they bring ruin by destroying mankind's goods and foodstuffs. In this way, they spread disease, plague, leprosy, typhoid fever, cholera, dysentery, and so on. They are cunning, cowardly and cruel and are found mostly in large packs. Among the animals, they represent the rudiment of an insidious, underground destruction – just like the Jews among human beings."^[24]

The film also claims that:

[Jewish people] need other people because they need the goods with which to carry on business. The things that are valued by the creative *Aryan* peoples have been reduced by the Jew to the level of a mere piece of merchandise, which he buys and sells but cannot produce himself. He leaves production to the labourers and peasants of the people upon whom he has imposed his presence. The Jews are a race without farmers and without manual labourers, a race of parasites.^[25]

According to *Film Propaganda: Soviet Russia and Nazi Germany*:

By showing the way in which Jews allegedly insinuate themselves from small-scale to large-scale operations by preying on others, the film returns to its original image of the Jew concealing himself 'behind the mask of the civilised European'. [...] According to the film, the Jew presents an insidious, because concealed, threat, and one that is growing and must be brought under control. The message of this part of the commentary is that Jews will always be Jews, and that the 'civilised European' Jew is only a guise for a new and more dangerous forms of parasitic exploitation.^[25]

Jews as manipulative

Changing outward appearances

The film also claims that the Jewish people ...

...change their outward appearances when they leave their Polish nests and go out into the rich world. [...] When he appears without them, then it is only the keen-eyed amongst us who recognise his racial origins. [...] It is true that their fathers and grandfathers still lived in ghettos, but there is no trace left now in their external appearance. Here in the second and third generation, the Aryanisation has reached its zenith. [...] And people lacking in intuition allow themselves to be deceived by this mimicry and regard them as being in truth their equals. Therein lies the dreadful danger, for even these 'civilised' Jews remain foreign bodies in the organism of their hosts, no matter how much their outward appearance may correspond to that of their hosts.^[26]

Economic impact

The film claims:

"At the beginning of the twentieth century, Jews sit at the junction of the world financial markets. They are an international power. Only one percent of the world's population, with the help of their capital they terrorize the world stock exchanges, world opinion, and world politics."^[27]

The movie blamed Jews for inflation and unemployment in Germany. It charged that they had crept into all professions through usury, racketeering and crimes against German assets.

"Out of a thousand workers in Berlin, only two were Jews. For the start of 1933, out of one hundred prosecutors in Berlin 15 were Jews. Out of a hundred judges were 23 Jews. Out of a hundred lawyers 49 Jews. 52 Jews out of a hundred doctors. And out of every hundred of businessmen 60 Jews. The average wealth of Germans was 810 marks each. The average wealth of each Jew amounted to 10,000 marks."

The evidence for these assertions is unstated in the film. The occupational information is found in Germany's June 1933 census, which proves the claims made in the movie to be greatly exaggerated.^{[28][29][30]}

In 1933, 5,600 Jewish doctors and 3,030 Jewish lawyers were practicing in Germany, corresponding to 11 percent of doctors and 16 percent of lawyers.^[31] Still, an exceptionally large number of successful lawsuits over equal citizenship and rights had been filed in the Weimar Republic, most of them by Jewish lawyers.^[30] The Nuremberg Laws specifically forbade German judges from citing any precedents by Jewish jurists and cut off Jewish doctors from reimbursement under public health care insurance.^[31]

In contrast, during the economic crisis between the end of World War I and the implementation of the Nuremberg Laws, Jews were disproportionately likely to be laid off from the labor market, to the point that 1 out of every 4 Jews had been laid off by 1935.^[31] The original set of layoffs also had the effect of concentrating Jewish employment during this period into the professional and self-

employed sectors, while post-1933 delicensing and other Nuremberg legislation gradually pushed Jews completely out of professional occupations and into positions such as street peddlers.^[31]

The reference to average wealth probably used the wealth of the Jewish Rothschild family as the basis for its calculation. The Rothschild banking family is still believed to have had the largest private fortune in the world during the 19th century, as well as the largest fortune in modern world history.^{[32][33][34]} Although the Creditanstalt debts were assumed in part by the Austrian branch of the Rothschild family, its bankruptcy was later used by Hitler as an example of how the Jews were responsible for all the economic and social troubles of Germany and the world.^[35]

The movie goes on to assert that: "The most common expressions in the jargon of international gangsters and criminals stem from Hebrew and Yiddish words." According to the movie, Jews are likewise disproportionately plentiful in organized crime, where they represent 82% of international crime organizations, and 98% of international prostitution. This Jewish influence is seen as well in the international language used by criminals (see also Rotwelsch). The evidence for these blatant assertions is nowhere produced. Insofar as "organized crime" represents lawbreaking other than that forced by the Nuremberg Laws, it has not been corroborated by subsequent research.

The film also claims that the Jewish people have some kind of "genetic predisposition towards barter and haggling", saying that "[Jewish people] rush into trade" because it is fitting "with their character and inclination", and that "For the Jew, there is but one object of value – money. How he earns it is a matter of complete indifference to him." It also accuses Jews of passing corruption on to their children by religious means, saying that:

These children see no ideals before them like our own. The egoism of the individual is not used in the service of higher common goals. On the contrary, Jewish racial morality claims, in contrast to Aryan morality, that the unrestrained egoism of each Jew is a divine law. His religion makes a duty out of treachery and usury.^[25]

Jews as abnormal and depraved

In a long sequence of images, the film provides examples to illustrate its claims that Aryan/European culture had been corrupted by Jews.^[36] This sequence includes footage of notable figures who had earned Adolf Hitler's wrath, such as physicist and Nobel laureate Albert Einstein and Spartacist uprising leader Rosa Luxemburg (erroneously named as one and the same person as anarchist Emma Goldman) as representatives of so-called "international Jewry". Einstein's image was juxtaposed with a series of images about the supposed Jewish control of the pornography industry. Einstein was characterized as "the relativity Jew, who masks his hatred of Germany behind his obscure pseudo-sciences". Other film clips presented Jewish (or supposedly Jewish) actors from the Weimar era such as Curt Bois, Fritz Kortner, Peter Lorre, and Ernst Lubitsch. Charlie Chaplin was also included in this sequence and inaccurately identified as Jewish, possibly as a consequence of his role as the Jewish barber in The Great Dictator.^{[37][38]}

The film includes two scenes from the Hollywood film The House of Rothschild (1934). The first clip shows Mayer Rothschild, a rich man, hiding money and putting on old, shabby clothes in order to fool a corrupt tax collector.^[23] And the second shows him speaking to his sons, encouraging them to start an international banking business to take advantage of nations' misfortunes in times of war. The clips were used without permission.

The film's narrator asserts that: "the Jew is instinctively interested in everything abnormal and depraved." To illustrate this point, Hippler included a scene from Fritz Lang's film M in which the child murderer Beckert (played by Peter Lorre) makes an impassioned plea to the "jury" of criminals, begging for his life and disclaiming responsibility for his crimes on account of insanity. Beckert shrieks, "... it burns within me. I must go the way I am driven. Who knows what is going on inside me? How I must - not want, must!"^[39] According to Sharon Packer, Hitler used this scene to assert that "Jews transmit inheritable criminality and therefore deserve to die."^[40]

Degenerate art

The film proceeds to suggest that pictorial art and music have degenerated under Jewish influence, with many spurious examples given. Degenerate art included works of abstract art as well as those from specific individuals such as George Grosz and Emil Nolde, while degenerate music included jazz and so-called Negermusik, although here the connection to Jewry is absent.

Jewish religious practices

After showing how Jews have supposedly been responsible for the decline of Western music, science, art, and commerce, the film presents a scene of a cow being slaughtered for meat by a shochet (Jewish ritual slaughterer). The scene is prefaced by a warning similar to the one in *Frankenstein*, warning the squeamish about what is coming next. This long sequence, lasting several minutes, shows cows and sheep in their death throes as they bleed to death. The producers apparently filmed this scene because of Hitler's opposition to cruelty to animals. He had banned the kosher slaughter of animals in Germany and felt that such footage would shock the German public.

This scene was cut from the version of the film that was intended for young audiences.

"These images are a clear proof of the cruelty of *Schächtmethode*. They also reveal the character of a race, their blunt brutality hidden under the guise of religious worship. "

Hitler's Reichstag speech

The film concludes with footage of Hitler's speech in the Reichstag in which he proclaims:

"If international Jewish financiers in and outside Europe should succeed in plunging the nations once more into a world war, the result will not be Bolshevization of the earth and thus the victory of Jewry, but the annihilation of the Jewish race in Europe!"^[41]

The film ends with images of SA troops on parade.

Release and reception

In and of itself, the movie did not have much impact on the German public. The film suffered from being released after *Jud Süß*, which had been wildly popular, perhaps because the antisemitic message was secondary to an engaging period drama. The actors in *Jud Süß* were leading German actors of the time. In contrast, *Der ewige Jude*'s only original footage was of Jews in the Polish ghetto and animated maps. All the other footage consisted of stills and archive film clips.

Thus, unlike *Jud Süß*, which was a box-office smash success, *Der ewige Jude* was a commercial flop. David Culbert asserts that it is unlikely that there were more than one million paid admissions compared to over 20 million paid admissions to *Jud Süß*.^[42] The film was more known by word-of-mouth descriptions than from people actually viewing it. Some Germans were quoted as saying "We've already seen enough Jewish filth. We don't need to see any more."^[43]

The film was chiefly screened by party supporters and Nazi organizations like the Hitler Youth and the SS. Its themes and content made it a topic of discussion by a wider audience that never saw it. Himmler invited to screen it SS troops headed for the Eastern Front to carry out the 'Final Solution'.^{[44][45]}

Postwar legacy



Hitler giving a speech to the Reichstag at the *Krolloper*

In 1946, Fritz Hippler was tried for directing *Der ewige Jude* but he was found not guilty.^[46] Hippler contended that Joseph Goebbels was the true creator of *The Eternal Jew* with Hitler's close supervision. He claimed that Goebbels gave Hippler credit as a reward "for his excellent work in the newsreel department".^[47]

Franz Hippler was interviewed in the Emmy Award-winning program "The Propaganda Battle" in the PBS series *Walk Through the Twentieth Century* (1983–1984). In this interview he stated that he regretted being listed as the director of *The Eternal Jew* because it resulted in being interrogated by the Allies after the war. He thought this was unfair because, in his opinion, he had nothing to do with the killing of Jews. In an interview shown in the German documentary series *Holocaust* (2000), the 90-year-old Hippler described the film as "the most disgraceful example of antisemitism." The film's narrator, Harry Giese, went on to narrate other films, but he was associated with this film and other films by the Third Reich and he found less work in the Postwar German cinema of the 1950s and 60s.

Availability

The public distribution and exhibition of the film is prohibited in Germany. The only exception is granted for its use in college classrooms and other academic purposes; however, exhibitors must have formal education in "media science and the history of the Holocaust",^[48] and it can only be screened in a censored version with annotations.

The full version can be viewed on YouTube, either with English subtitles or dubbed into English.

Cast

- Harry Giese as narrator
- Curt Bois as himself (archive footage)
- Charles Chaplin as himself (archive footage) – erroneously identified as Jewish
- Albert Einstein as himself (archive footage)
- Adolf Hitler as himself, speaking at *Reichstagssitzung* (archive footage)
- Fritz Kortner as himself (archive footage)
- Peter Lorre as himself (archive footage)
- Ernst Lubitsch as himself (archive footage)
- Rosa Luxemburg as herself (archive footage) – erroneously identified as the Jewish anarchist Emma Goldman
- Mona Maris as herself
- Anna Sten as herself (archive footage)

Makers

- Fritz Hippler as the director
- Eberhard Taubert as the writer

See also

- List of films made in the Third Reich
- List of films in the public domain in the United States
- Nazism and cinema
- *The International Jew*
- Henry Ford § *The Dearborn Independent* and antisemitism
- Protocols of the Elders of Zion
- Antisemitic canard
- History of the Jews in Poland

References

1. :

- "The Eternal Jew [1940] ranks as one of the most virulent propaganda films ever made." Richard Taylor, *Film Propaganda: Soviet Russia and Nazi Germany*. B. Tauris, 2006, p. 174.
 - "Fritz Hippler used an idea suggested by the Propaganda Ministry's anti-Jewish expert, Dietrich T. Taubert, and produced the film The Eternal Jew" Robert Edwin Herzstein, *The War that Hitler Won: The Most Infamous Propaganda Campaign in History* Putnam, 1977, p. 309.
 - "Of the Nazi propaganda films with an antisemitic message *Jud Suss* (*Jew Suss*, 1940) was without doubt the most popular and widely seen... The popularity of *Jew Suss* contrasts sharply with reactions to *Der ewige Jude* (*The Eternal Jew*, 1940)..." Toby Haggith, Joanna Newman, *Holocaust and the Moving Image: Representations in Film and Television Since 1933* Wallflower Press, 2005, p. 74.
 - "Of course, the Nazis also made more conventional propaganda films, the most famous being, perhaps, The Eternal Jew." Andrea Dworkin, *Scapegoat: The Jews, Israel, and Women's Liberation* Simon & Schuster, 2001, p. 164.
 - "The Eternal Jew Nazi propaganda film of 1940 that summarized the whole Nazi rationale for the disposition against Jews." Robert Michael, Karin Doerr, *Nazi-Deutsch/Nazi-German: An English Lexicon of the Language of the Third Reich*, Greenwood Press, 2002, p. 154.
2. Eisner, Lotte H. (29 September 2008). *The Haunted Screen: Expressionism in the German Cinema and the Influence of Max Reinhardt* (https://books.google.com/books?id=IwZ_S6ap1LcC&pg=PA329). University of California Press. p. 329. ISBN 978-0-520-25790-0 Retrieved 11 November 2011.
 3. Friedländer, Saul (6 October 2009). *The Years of Extermination: Nazi Germany and the Jews, 1939-1945* (<https://books.google.com/books?id=7KaCGDUys50C&pg=PA20>). HarperCollins. p. 20. ISBN 978-0-06-093048-6 Retrieved 21 November 2018.
 4. "The Jewish Virtual Library: The Eternal Jew" (<https://www.jewishvirtuallibrary.org/jsource/Holocaust/eternal.html>) Retrieved 13 November 2011.
 5. Maxwell, Anne (1 April 2010). *Picture Imperfect: Photography and Eugenics, 1870-1940* (<https://www.google.com/books?id=X99qg-eQDKcC&pg=PA178>). Sussex Academic Press. p. 178. ISBN 978-1-84519-415-4 Retrieved 15 November 2011.
 6. Fritz, Stephen G. (8 September 2011). *Ostkrieg: Hitler's War of Extermination in the East* (<https://books.google.com/books?id=kNsg8VBGs8AC&pg=PA15>). University Press of Kentucky p. 15. ISBN 978-0-8131-3416-1 Retrieved 21 November 2018.
 7. Hazkani, Shay (Summer 2008). "Forbidden Films – An Analysis of the Nazi Propaganda Films The Eternal Jew and Jew Suss and Their Influence on the German Public" (http://issuu.com/shay_hazkani/docs/hazkani_y5) *Moresheet* 181.
 8. Rees, Laurence (9 January 2006). *Auschwitz: A New History* (<https://books.google.com/books?id=Wh9QVS3A5QIC&pg=PR16>). PublicAffairs. p. 16. ISBN 978-1-58648-357-9 Retrieved 8 November 2011.
 9. Haggith, Toby; Newman, Joanna (1 August 2005). *Holocaust and the moving image: representations in film and television since 1933* (<https://books.google.com/books?id=hetrpvcBuuEC&pg=PA85>). Wallflower Press. p. 85. ISBN 978-1-904764-51-9 Retrieved 16 November 2011.
 10. Friedländer, Saul; Kenan, Orna (10 March 2009). *Nazi Germany and the Jews, 1933-1945* (<https://books.google.com/books?id=EY2npqn0J8UC&pg=PA153>). HarperCollins. p. 153. ISBN 978-0-06-135027-6 Retrieved 15 November 2011.
 11. Etlin, Richard A. (15 October 2002). *Art, culture, and media under the Third Reich* (<https://books.google.com/books?id=aQXoZ3oZ3-cC&pg=PA148>). University of Chicago Press. p. 148. ISBN 978-0-226-22087-1 Retrieved 11 November 2011.
 12. Pages, Neil Christian; Rhiel, Mary; O'Sickey Ingeborg Majer (1 May 2008). *Riefenstahl screened: an anthology of new criticism* (<https://books.google.com/books?id=4vf4k0Y3mMcC&pg=PA96>). Continuum International Publishing Group. p. 96. ISBN 978-0-8264-2801-1 Retrieved 14 November 2011.
 13. Reimer, Robert C. (2002). *Cultural History Through a National Socialist Lens: Essays on the Cinema of the Third Reich* (<https://books.google.com/books?id=Zf0FW0fjLNQC&pg=PA135>). Camden House. p. 135. ISBN 978-1-57113-134-8. Retrieved 16 November 2011.

14. Reimer, Robert C. (2002). *Cultural History Through a National Socialist Lens: Essays on the Cinema of the Third Reich* (<https://books.google.com/books?id=Zf0FW0fjLNQC&pg=PA136>). Camden House. p. 136. ISBN 978-1-57113-134-8. Retrieved 16 November 2011. "In the Nazi press, Hippler explained, "No Jew was forced into any kind of action or position during the shooting. Moreover we let the filmed Jews be on their own and tried to shoot in moments when they were unaware of the camera's presence. Consequently, we have rendered the Ghetto Jews in an unprejudiced manner, real to life as they live and as they react in their own surroundings. All who see this film will be convinced that there is never a forced or scared expression in the faces of the Jews who are filmed passing, by trading or attending ritual services."
15. Huener, Jonathan; Nicosia, Francis R. (2006). *The arts in Nazi Germany: continuity, conformity, change* (<https://books.google.com/books?id=ynlpiQ7LUjsC&pg=PA72>). Berghahn Books. p. 72. ISBN 978-1-84545-209-4. Retrieved 12 November 2011.
16. Barnouw, Erik (1993). *Documentary: a history of the non-fiction film* (<https://books.google.com/books?id=qtZ91DNvgBMC&pg=PA141>). Oxford University Press. pp. 141–142. ISBN 978-0-19-507898-5. Retrieved 17 November 2011.
17. Barsam, Richard Meran (1992). *Nonfiction film: a critical history* (<https://books.google.com/books?id=z7p36FdYdIC&pg=PA204>). Indiana University Press. p. 204. ISBN 978-0-253-20706-7. Retrieved 12 December 2011.
18. Fritz, Stephen G. (8 September 2011). *Ostkrieg: Hitler's War of Extermination in the East* (<https://books.google.com/books?id=kNsg8VBGs8AC&pg=PA22>). University Press of Kentucky. p. 22. ISBN 978-0-8131-3416-1. Retrieved 21 November 2018.
19. Hantke, Steffen (9 September 2009). *Horror Film: Creating and Marketing Fear* (<https://books.google.com/books?id=oAX81WbAcfcC&pg=PA23>). Univ. Press of Mississippi. p. 23. ISBN 978-1-60473-376-1. Retrieved 15 November 2011.
20. Barsam, Richard Meran (1992). *Nonfiction film: a critical history* (<https://books.google.com/books?id=z7p36FdYdIC&pg=PA205>). Indiana University Press. p. 205. ISBN 978-0-253-20706-7. Retrieved 12 December 2011.
21. "A Nazi review of 'The Eternal Jew'" (<http://www.calvin.edu/academic/cas/gpa/evig.htm>).
22. Taylor, Richard (1998). *Film Propaganda: Soviet Russia and Nazi Germany* (<https://books.google.com/books?id=SQ2ihtMCi5QC&pg=PA175>). I. B. Tauris. p. 175. ISBN 978-1-86064-167-1. Retrieved 12 November 2011.
23. Barnouw, Erik (1993). *Documentary: a history of the non-fiction film* (<https://books.google.com/books?id=qtZ91DNvgBMC&pg=PA141>). Oxford University Press. p. 141. ISBN 978-0-19-507898-5. Retrieved 17 November 2011.
24. Smith, David Livingstone (1 March 2011). *Less than human: why we demean, enslave, and exterminate others* (<https://books.google.com/books?id=rVAiRm3LkcC&pg=PA137>). Macmillan. p. 137. ISBN 978-0-312-53272-7. Retrieved 16 November 2011.
25. Richard, Taylor (15 October 1998). *Film Propaganda: Soviet Russia and Nazi Germany* (<https://books.google.com/books?id=SQ2ihtMCi5QC&pg=PA176>) (illus. repr. rev. ed.). I. B. Tauris. p. 176. ISBN 978-1-86064-167-1. Retrieved 21 March 2015.
26. Taylor, Richard (15 October 1998). *Film Propaganda: Soviet Russia and Nazi Germany* (rev. illus. repr. ed.). I. B. Tauris. pp. 179–179. ISBN 9781860641671.
27. Gilreath, Shannon (31 October 2011). *The End of Straight Supremacy: Realizing Gay Liberation* (<https://books.google.com/books?id=A0LaGybtIzwC&pg=PA148>). Cambridge University Press. p. 148. ISBN 978-0-521-18104-4. Retrieved 15 November 2011.
28. Brenner, Michael. "JEWISH CULTURE IN CONTEMPORARY AMERICA AND WEIMAR GERMANY: PARALLELS AND DIFFERENCES" (http://web.ceu.hu/jewishstudies/pdf/02_brennepdf) (PDF). Retrieved 21 March 2015.
29. "ANTI-JEWISH LEGISLATION IN PREWAR GERMANY" (<http://www.ushmm.org/wlc/en/article.php?ModuleId=10005681>). United States Holocaust Memorial Museum. Retrieved 21 March 2015.
30. Margarete Limberg and Hubert Rübsaat, eds., *Germans No More: Accounts of Jewish Everyday Life, 1933-1938*, trans. Alan Nothnagle (New York: Berghahn Books, 2006), 1.
31. Hunt, Sarah. "Changing Relations between Jews and non-Jews in Interwar Germany" (https://www.academia.edu/2083415/Changing_Relations_between_Jews_and_non-Jews_in_Interwar_Germany). academia.edu. Retrieved 21 March 2015.
32. *The House of Rothschild: Money's prophets, 1798–1848* Volume 1, Niall Ferguson, 1999, page 481–8.
33. "The Independent, UK: The Rothschild story: A golden era ends for a secretive dynasty" (https://web.archive.org/web/20060115031554/http://news.independent.co.uk/uk/this_britain/article56239.ece). Archived from the original (http://news.independent.co.uk/uk/this_britain/article56239.ece) on 15 January 2006. Retrieved 11 April 2017.
34. *The Secret Life of the Jazz Baroness* from The Times 11 April 2009, Rosie Boycott.

35. Trumbore, Brian. "The Collapse of Creditanstalt Bank in 1931"(<https://web.archive.org/web/20140318080458/http://www.buyandhold.com/bh/en/education/history/2012/collapse.html>) Freedom Investments, Inc. Archived from the original (<http://www.buyandhold.com/bh/en/education/history/2012/collapse.html>) on 18 March 2014 Retrieved 21 March 2015.
36. Haggith, Toby; Newman, Joanna (1 August 2005). *Holocaust and the moving image: representations in film and television since 1933*(<https://books.google.com/books?id=hetrpvcBuuEC&pg=PA90>). Wallflower Press. p. 90. ISBN 978-1-904764-51-9 Retrieved 16 November 2011.
37. Desser, David; Friedman, Lester D. (2004) *American Jewish Filmmakers*(<https://books.google.com/books?id=W4Aqh7u9qCQC&pg=PA9>). University of Illinois Press. pp. 9–10. ISBN 978-0-252-07153-9 Retrieved 5 July 2012.
38. Jacoby, Russell (5 April 2011). *Bloodlust: On the Roots of Violence from Cain and Abel to the Present*(<https://books.google.com/books?id=EA7v67XHSEC&pg=PA78>). Simon and Schuster p. 78. ISBN 978-1-4391-0024-0 Retrieved 5 July 2012.
39. Barnouw, Erik (1993). *Documentary: a history of the non-fiction film*(<https://books.google.com/books?id=qtZ91DNvgBMC&pg=PA142>). Oxford University Press. p. 142. ISBN 978-0-19-507898-5 Retrieved 15 November 2011.
40. Packer, Sharon (September 2007). *Movies and the modern psyche*(https://books.google.com/books?id=CX4goUP_yh0C&pg=PA89). Greenwood Publishing Group. p. 89. ISBN 978-0-275-99359-7 Retrieved 15 November 2011.
41. "Papers on 'The Eternal Jew' by Stig Hornshøj-Møller"(<https://web.archive.org/web/20060702072155/http://www.holocaust-history.org/der-ewige-jude/>) Archived from the original (<http://www.holocaust-history.org/der-ewige-jude/>) on 2 July 2006.
42. Etlin, Richard A. (15 October 2002) *Art, culture, and media under the Third Reich*(<https://books.google.com/books?id=aQXoZ3oZ3-cC&pg=PA151>). University of Chicago Press. p. 151. ISBN 978-0-226-22087-1 Retrieved 11 November 2011.
43. Jowett, Garth S.; Jowett, Garth; O'Donnell, Victoria (2006). *Propaganda and persuasion*(<https://books.google.com/books?id=vIC92PdJ0l0C&pg=PA234>). SAGE. p. 234. ISBN 978-1-4129-0898-6 Retrieved 1 December 2011.
44. *Encyclopedia of Genocide: I-Y*(<https://books.google.com/books?id=8Q30HcvCvIC&pg=PA474>). ABC-CLIO. 1999. p. 474. ISBN 978-0-87436-928-1 Retrieved 1 December 2011.
45. Hull, David Stewart (1969). *Film in the Third Reich: a study of the German cinema, 1933-1945*(<https://books.google.com/books?id=g5qy-qkAleoC&pg=PA170>). University of California Press. p. 170. GGKEY:QDC15T6U339 Retrieved 1 December 2011.
46. Etlin, Richard A. (15 October 2002) *Art, culture, and media under the Third Reich*(<https://books.google.com/books?id=aQXoZ3oZ3-cC&pg=PA152>). University of Chicago Press. p. 152. ISBN 978-0-226-22087-1 Retrieved 11 November 2011.
47. Winkel, Roel. Nazi German's Fritz Hippler 1909- 2002. Historical Journal of Film, Radio and Television. Carfax Publishing. Vol 23, No 2, 2003. 91–99.
48. "The Eternal Jew - a Blueprint for Genocide"(<https://web.archive.org/web/20130708111625/http://www.holocaust-history.org/der-ewige-jude/millersville-19980427.shtml>). Archived from the original (<http://www.holocaust-history.org/der-ewige-jude/millersville-19980427.shtml>) on 8 July 2013.

Further reading

- Stig Hornshøj-Møller, *Der ewige Jude: Quellenkritische Analyse eines antisemitischen Propagandafilms* ("Source-Critical Analysis of an Antisemitic Propaganda Film"), Institut für den Wissenschaftlichen Film, Göttingen, 1995.
- Sara Friedrichsmeyer, Sara Lennox, Susanne Zantop. *The imperialist imagination: German colonialism and its legacy*, University of Michigan Press 1998, p. 173.
- Jack Fischel, *The Holocaust*, Greenwood Publishing Group 1998, pp. 15–16.
- David Stewart Hull. *Film in the Third Reich: a study of the German cinema, 1933–1945*, University of California Press, 1969, pp. 157–158.
- Marvin Perry, Frederick M. Schweitzer *Antisemitism: myth and hate from antiquity to the present*, Palgrave Macmillan, 2002, p. 78.
- Hershel Edelheit, Abraham J. Edelheit *A world in turmoil: an integrated chronology of the Holocaust and World War II*, Greenwood Publishing Group 1991, 388.

External links

- [The Eternal Jew on IMDb](#)
- [Shay Hazkani, "Forbidden Films-An Analysis of the Nazi Propaganda Films The Eternal Jew and Jew Sues and Their Influence on the German Public"](#)Moreshet 5
- [Still Images of film](#)via holocaust-history.org
- [The Eternal Jew: The Film of a 2000-Year Rat Migration](#)
- [Papers on 'The Eternal Jew' by Stig Hornshøj-Møller](#)
- [The Eternal Jew - a Blueprint for Genocide](#)

Preceded by <u>Feldzug in Polen (1940)</u>	<u>Hippler Propaganda films</u> The Eternal Jew (1940)	Succeeded by <u>Die Frontschau (1941–1943)</u>
---	---	---

Retrieved from "https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=The_Eternal_Jew_(1940_film)&oldid=892822766

This page was last edited on 17 April 2019, at 03:18(UTC).

Text is available under the [Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike License](#); additional terms may apply. By using this site, you agree to the [Terms of Use](#) and [Privacy Policy](#). Wikipedia® is a registered trademark of the [Wikimedia Foundation, Inc.](#), a non-profit organization.